



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/073,793	02/11/2002	Chul-Ho Lim	66778/RSM	4360
7590 09/08/2004		EXAMINER		
Richard S. Milner			NGUYEN, KEVIN M	
Cooper & Dunh	nam LLP			
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			2674	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/073,793	LIM ET AL.				
Auvisory Aution	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a simal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		i			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriation of the final the final the final in the	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (CFR 1.704(b).		•			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S .			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi 	idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)∏ will be entered a w or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4</u> .	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	round or hill discourage of head	he Everniner				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. □ Other:						
	XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER	Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2674				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 raises new limitation "the system board to be separably mounted within the flat panel display device".